

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/662,052	Applicant(s) LI ET AL.	
	Examiner Jehanne E Souaya	Art Unit 1634	

All Participants:

(1) Jehanne E Souaya.

(2) Howard Frankfort.

Status of Application: ____

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 30 June 2003
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

1 and 3

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: the examiner indicated that claims 1 and 3 were duplicate claims and indicated that amending claim 1 to "an isolated nucleic acid molecule consisting of the nucleotide sequence of SEQ ID NO 3..." and claim 3 to "an isolated nucleic acid molecule comprising the nucleotide sequence of SEQ ID NO 3..." would overcome this issue as the claims would no longer be identical in scope. Applicant's representative agreed to the changes in a supplemental examiner's amendment.